

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
3  
4

5 STEVEN KINFORD,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 JAMES PINCOCK, *et al.*,

9 Defendants.

CASE NO.: 3:15-CV-00512-RCJ-WGC

**ORDER**

10  
11 Before the Court is the Reports and Recommendations of U.S. Magistrate Judge (ECF No. 65<sup>1</sup>)  
12 entered on April 21, 2017, recommending that the Court that certain claims be dismissed. On May 5,  
13 2017, Plaintiff filed his Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 66).  
14


15 The Court has conducted it's *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections of  
16 the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant  
17 to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's  
18 Reports and Recommendation (ECF No. 65) entered on April 21, 2017, should be ADOPTED AND  
19 ACCEPTED.

20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED The previously dismissed claims against Dr. Pincock is without  
21 jurisdiction to consider the claims in the Third Amended Complaint.

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the claims against Warden Baca and Warden Neven are  
23 DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion for Mediation (ECF #64) is DENIED as MOOT.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of June, 2017.

26  
27   
28 ROBERT C. JONES

<sup>1</sup>Refers to court's docket number.